Varali Properties Limited vs. Anil Sodhani Appeal No. 201 of 2019

Present: Ms. Daisy Sharma, Advocate, ld. Counsel for the

appellant.

Shri Mudit Gupta, Advocate, ld. Counsel for the

respondent.

Vide our order dated 02.09.2019 the appellant was directed to

deposit the requisite amount by 25.09.2019 in order to comply with the

provisions of proviso to section 43(5) of the Real Estate (Regulatory &

Development) Act, 2016 (hereinafter called 'the Act'). As per the report

of the office, the requisite amount has not been deposited. This fact has

also not been disputed at bar by the learned counsel for the appellant.

As per the proviso to section 43(5) of the Act, the deposit of whole

of the amount payable to the allottee as imposed by the learned Haryana

Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram (hereinafter called 'the

Authority'), is a condition precedent to entertain the appeal. But the

appellant has not complied with this mandatory requirement of the Act.

So, the present appeal cannot be entertained and the same is hereby

dismissed.

30% of the amount already deposited by the appellant/promoter be

transmitted to the learned Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram

for disbursement to the respondent/allottee as per law.

File be consigned to records.

Justice Darshan Singh (Retd.)

Chairman,

Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal,

Chandigarh

30.09.2019

Inderjeet Mehta Member (Judicial)

30.09.2019

Anil Kumar Gupta

Member (Technical) 30.09.2019