



## BEFORE THE HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, GURUGRAM

Complaint no.

1665 of 2019

First date of hearing:

18.12.2019

Date of decision

18.02.2020

1. Mr. Vinish Bhalotra

2. Mrs. Sunita Bhalotra

Address: R/O E-012, Raheja's Atlantis,

Complainants

Sector31,32, Gurgaon

Versus

M/s Raheja Developer Limited

Address: 406, 4th Floor, Rectangle one, D-4, District Centre, Saket, Delhi-110017

Respondent

CORAM

Shri Samir Kumar Shri Subhash Chander Kush

Member Member


APPEARANCE:

Shri. Sushil Yadav Shri. Tarun Sharma

AR of respondent company

## GURLIGRAM

1. The present complaint dated 12.04.2019 has been filed by the complainants/allottees under section 31 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (in short, the Act) read with Rule 28 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017 (in short, the Rules) for violation of section 11(4)(a) of the Act wherein it is inter alia prescribed






that the promoter shall be responsible for all obligations, responsibilities and functions to the allottee as per the Agreement to Sell executed inter-se them.


 The particulars of the project, the details of sale consideration, the amount paid by the complainant, date of proposed handing over the possession, delay period, if any, have been detailed in the following tabular form: -

S.No	Heads	
1.	Project Name and location of the project	Raheja's "Revanta", Sector 78, Gurugram
2.	Project Area	18.7213 acres
3.	Nature of the project	Residential Group Housing Colony
4.	DTCP license no. and validity status	49 of 2011 dated 01.06.2011 valid up to 31.05.2021
5.	Name of licensee	Sh. Ram Chander, Ram Sawroop and 4 Others
6.	RERA Registered/not registered	Registered vide no. 32 of 2017 dated 04.08.2017
7.	RERA registration valid Upto	5 Years from the date of revised Environment Clearance commencing from 04.08.2017





8.	Unit no.	IF2-02, 1st Floor,
		Independent Floor-2
		(Page no. 18 of
		complaint)
9.	Unit measuring	2372.450 sq. ft.
		(Page no. 18 of
		complaint)
10.	Date of execution of Agreement to	23.05.2012
	Sell	(Page no. 16 of
		complaint)
11.	Payment plan सत्यमेव जयते	Construction Linked
		Payment Plan
12.	Total sale consideration	₹1,22,17,352/-
		(Page no. 51 to 53 of
		complaint)
13.	Amount paid by the Allottee	₹1,07,46,920/-
		(Page no. 50 of
	HADED	complaint)
14.	Due date of delivery of	23.11.2015
	possession as per clause 4.2 of	M MILL
	Agreement to Sell-(36	
	months+6 months grace period	
	from the date of execution of	
	agreement in respect of "Tapas"	
	Independent Floor	





15.	Delay in handling over possession till date	Possession has not been offered so far
16.	Status of project	Ongoing
17.	Specific relief sought (in specific terms)	To direct the respondent to immediately deliver the possession and to pay
	सत्यमेव जयते	delayed possession charges at prescribed rate of interest per annum for delayed period in handing over the possession of the subject apartment.

3. As per clause 4.2 of the Agreement to Sell the possession was to be handed over by 36 months plus grace period of 6 Months, from the date of agreement, which comes out to be 23.11.2015. Clause 4.2 of the Agreement to Sell is reproduced below:

## "4.2 Possession Time and Compensation

That the Seller shall sincerely endeavour to give possession of the Unit to the Purchaser within thirty-six (36) months in respect of 'TAPAS' Independent Floors and Forty-eight (48) months in respect of 'SURYA TOWER' from the date of the execution of the agreement to sell and after providing of necessary infrastructure specially road, sewer & water in the sector by the government, but subject to force majeure conditions or any Government/Regulatory authorities action,




inaction or omission and reasons beyond the control of the Seller. However, the Seller shall be entitled for compensation free grace period of six (6) months in case the construction is not completed within the time period mentioned above...."

- 4. As per the fact mentioned in the Performa at page no. 2,3 and 4 it is crystal clear that as per the clause 4.2 of the agreement to sell that the unit no. IF2-02, 1st Floor, Independent Floor-2 had to be handed over to allottee within 36 months along with additional grace period of 6 months, which comes out to be 23.11.2015 from the date of execution of agreement to sell. Whereas the builder has miserably failed in completing the project and handing over the unit to the home buyer for which he has paid an amount of ₹1,07,46,920/- against the total sale consideration of ₹1,22,17,352/- Since the builder has failed miserably in completing his obligation as per the provision of section 18(1) of RERA Act the buyer can either seek refund or possession at prescribed rate of interest till the actual handing over of possession. In the present case the buyer is seeking delayed possession charges.
- 5. The respondent submitted that the delay in construction was for the reason beyond their control. However, the respondent has failed to file any reply despite service of notice and being represented through authorised representative.
- 6. Arguments of both sides were heard. However, the respondent could not submit any cogent reasoning for not handing over the flat or unit within stipulated time as per clause 4.2 of the agreement to sell.



- By virtue of clause 4.2 of Agreement to Sell executed between 7. the parties on 23.05.2012, possession of the booked unit was to be delivered by 23.05.2015 plus grace period of 6 months from the date of execution of agreement. Therefore, the due date of handing over possession comes out to be 23.11.2015. Accordingly, it is the failure of the respondent to fulfil his obligations, responsibilities as per the Agreement to Sell dated 23.05.2012 to hand over the possession within the stipulated period. Accordingly, the non-compliance of the mandate contained in section 11(4)(a) of the Act on the part of the respondent is established. As such the complainant is entitled to delayed possession charges at the prescribed rate of interest i.e. 10.20% p.a. w.e.f. 24.11.2015 till the offer of actual physical possession of the booked unit as per the proviso to section 18(1) of the Act read with rules 15 of the Rules.
- 8. Hence, the Authority hereby pass this order and issue directions under section 34(f) of the Act:
  - i. The respondent is directed to pay interest at the prescribed rate of 10.20% p.a. for delay in handing over the possession from the due date of possession i.e. 24.11.2015 till the offer of actual physical possession of the booked unit.
  - ii. The complainants are directed to pay outstanding dues, if any, after adjustment of interest for the delayed period.
  - iii. The respondent is directed to pay interest accrued from 24.11.2015 till the date of this order to the complainants within 90 days from the date of decision and subsequent





interest to be paid by the 10th of each succeeding month, The respondent shall not charge anything which is not part of the agreement.

- iv. Complaint stands disposed of.
- v. File be consigned to registry.

Samir Kumar (Member)

Subhash Chander Kush (Member)

Haryana Real Estate Regulatory Authority, Gurugram Dated: 18.02.2020

सत्यमेव जयते

Judgement uploaded on 11.05.2020

HARERA
GURUGRAM