Ansal Properties & Infrastructure Ltd.

Vs.

Padma Kataria

Appeal No.275 of 2019

Present:

Shri Chander Shekhar Singhal, Advocate for Shri Ajay

Ghangas, Advocate, ld. Counsel for the appellant.

Vide our last order dated 16.09.2019, the appellant/promoter

was directed to deposit the requisite amount to comply with the

provisions of proviso to section 43(5) of the Real Estate (Regulation

and Development) Act, 2016 (hereinafter called 'the Act'), on or

before 14.10.2019. But as per the report of the office and the fact

not disputed by the learned counsel for the appellant, no amount

has been so far deposited by the appellant with this Tribunal to

comply with the aforesaid provisions.

It is settled principle of law that the provisions of proviso to

section 43(5) of the Act are mandatory. It is a condition precedent

for entertainment of the appeal filed by the promoter to deposit the

requisite amount. In the instant case, the appellant/promoter has

not complied with the mandatory provisions of proviso to section

43(5) of the Act inspite of sufficient opportunity. Consequently, the

present appeal cannot be entertained and the same is hereby

dismissed.

File be consigned to records.

Justice Darshan Singh (Retd.)

Chairman,

Haryana Real Estate Appellate Tribunal,

Chandigarh

16.10.2019

Inderjeet Mehta Member (Judicial)

16.10.2019

Anil Kumar Gupta Member (Technical)